

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA
INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

UNITED STATES SECURITIES)	
AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 1:15-cv-659-JMS-MJD
)	
VEROS PARTNERS, INC.,)	
MATTHEW D. HAAB,)	
JEFFERY B. RISINGER,)	
VEROS FARM LOAN HOLDING LLC,)	
TOBIN J. SENEFELD,)	
FARMGROWCAP LLC,)	
PINCAP. LLC, and)	
)	
Defendants,)	
)	
PIN FINANCIAL LLC,)	
)	
Relief Defendant,)	
-)	

ORDER DENYING THE INTERESTED INVESTORS’ MOTION TO PARTICIPATE TELEPHONICALLY IN THE JUNE 16, 2017 ISSUES CONFERENCE

Sixty-four days ago, on April 12, 2017, the Court issued an order scheduling an in person conference on June 2, 2017, to address certain specific issues in this matter. [Dkt. 370.] That order provided in relevant part as follows:

Unless excused by order of the court, clients or client representatives with complete authority to negotiate a resolution of the following issues shall attend the conference along with their counsel:

- resolve any outstanding issues and exchange of information;
- develop a mutually agreeable plan for the wind down of the receivership;
and
- clarify the status of the remaining defendant parties for trial.

This requires the presence of each Party, Intervenor, and Interested Party, or the authorized representative of each corporate, governmental, or other organizational entity that is a Party, an Intervenor, or an Interested Party, to include the Receiver; however, the SEC may appear by its counsel only, and Defendants Tobin J. Senefeld, Veros Farm Loan Holding LLC, Farmgrowcap LLC, Pincap LLC, and Pin Financial LLC, may, but need not, appear. The goal is to have in attendance representatives of the relevant entities who have the authority to exercise discretion to resolve any issues that may arise during the issues conference without consulting someone else who is not present.

[*Id.* at 1-2.]

On May 26, 2017, the Court granted the Receiver's motion and continued the issues conference to June 16, 2017. [Dkt. 385.] There were no objections to the Receiver's motion.

[Dkt. 383 at 2.]

Today at 3:13 p.m., less than 22 hours prior to the scheduled start of the issues conference, counsel for certain interested parties filed a motion requesting leave for said counsel and an unknown number of interested parties to participate in the issues conference telephonically. [Dkt. 392.] The Court's purpose in scheduling the conference was to facilitate a face-to-face meeting to resolve the disputed issues. That purpose would be frustrated by the telephonic participation of an unknown group of individuals and their counsel. Accordingly, the motion [Dkt. 392] is **DENIED**.

Dated: 15 JUN 2017



Mark J. Dinsmore
United States Magistrate Judge
Southern District of Indiana

Distribution:

Copies shall be distributed to all counsel of record via the Court's CM/ECF.